P. *Utilities.* Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:

	Potentially Significant Impact				
	No Impact	Less Than Significant Impact	Mitigation Identified	No Mitigation Identified-- EIR	Insufficient Data
1. Power or natural gas?	X				
2. Communications systems?	X				
3. Water?	X				
4. Sewer or septic tanks?	X				
5. Storm water drainage?	X				
6. Solid waste and disposal?	X				

Explanation:

1.) Both the Marine Terminal and the upland facility use power for pumps, valves, safety devices and lighting. This use will continue at their present rate if the lease is renewed for another 10 years. If the lease is denied, only the upland facility will require power at the present rate. The rate of use for the Marine Terminal will fall to zero if the facility is abandoned, or will be at some unknown level if the facility is re-used for some new use.

2.) The only change in communications needs would be if the lease were denied, in which case there would be no need for ship to shore communication with tugs and tankers.

3.) Renewal of the lease would continue water usage at current levels for an additional ten years. Denial of the lease could lead to no use of water at the wharf, if it is abandoned, to some unknown level of use if the facility is used for something new. Water usage at the upland facility remains the same under either scenario.

4.) Renewal of the lease would continue usage of public sewage systems at current levels for another ten years. If the issue of ballast water disposal is addressed by offloading such water through a sewage disposal system, then there could be a significant increase in usage over the renewed lease term. Denial of the lease could make some change, depending on what, if any, use is proposed for the Marine Terminal.

5.) Renewal or denial of the lease has no impact on storm drainage because the upland facility remains the same under either option and the wharf at the Marine Terminal has no impact on storm drainage.